

The Current Status of the New World Monkey Phylogeny*

HORACIO SCHNEIDER**

Campus Universitário de Bragança, Universidade Federal do Pará,
Alameda Leandro Ribeiro, s/n – 68600-000 Bragança, Pará, Brazil

Manuscript received on January 31, 2000; accepted for publication on February 2, 2000

ABSTRACT

Four DNA datasets were combined in tandem (6700 bp) and Maximum parsimony and Neighbor-Joining analyses were performed. The results suggest three groups emerging almost at the same time: Atelidae, Pitheciidae and Cebidae. The total analysis strongly supports the monophyly of the Cebidae family, grouping *Aotus*, *Cebus* and *Saimiri* with the small callitrichines. In the callitrichines, the data link *Cebuella* to *Callithrix*, place *Callimico* as a sister group of *Callithrix/Cebuella*, and show *Saguinus* to be the earliest offshoot of the callitrichines. In the family Pitheciidae, *Callicebus* is the basal genus. Finally, combined molecular data showed congruent branching in the atelid clade, setting up *Alouatta* as the basal lineage and *Brachyteles-Lagothrix* as a sister group and the most derived branch. Two major points remain to be clarified in the platyrrhine phylogeny: (i) what is the exact branching pattern of *Aotus*, *Cebus*, *Saimiri* and the small callitrichines, and (ii), which two of these three lineages, pitheciines, atelines or cebids, are more closely related?

Key words: New World monkeys, Platyrrhine, Taxonomy, Molecular, Evolution

INTRODUCTION

The systematics of New World monkeys has been a subject of strong debate during the last three decades. The main protagonists and their taxonomic proposals are: Simons (1972), Hershkovitz (1977), Rosenberger (1981), Ford (1986), and Kay (1990). All of these proposals are based mainly on morphological or ecological studies. The majority of the authors agree with the existence of three monophyletic clades: the large monkeys with a prehensile tail, a group constituted by *Alouatta*, *Ateles*, *Lagothrix*, and *Brachyteles*; the seed predator monkeys, consisting of *Pithecia*, *Chiropotes*, and *Cacajao*, and the small clawed monkeys, composed of

the *Saguinus*, *Leontopithecus*, *Callithrix*, and *Cebuella* genera. However, they disagree in relation to the branching order among these three major clades, within the ateline and callitrichine clades, and also on the placement of *Saimiri*, *Cebus*, *Aotus*, *Callicebus*, and *Callimico*.

Hershkovitz (1977), placed the small *Callimico* in its own family Callimiconidae, based on its controversial features: this genus shares small body size and the presence of claws instead of nails with the callitrichines, and single pregnancy and the presence of a third molar with the remaining platyrrhine lineages. On the other hand, based on those ambiguous affinities, other morphologists place *Callimico* as the first callitrichine offshoot to diverge from the common ancestor (Rosenberger 1981, Ford 1986, Kay 1990). *Cebus* and *Saimiri* are considered

*Invited paper

**Member of the Academia Brasileira de Ciências

E-mail: hschneider@eletronet.com.br

by Ford (1986) as the earliest offshoot lineages of the New World primate radiation, but Kay (1990), places *Cebus* as the basal platyrrhine and *Saimiri* as a sister group of the callitrichines. Conversely, Rosenberger (1981) considers *Cebus* and *Saimiri* as sister groups of the callitrichines. The position of the *Callicebus* genus has been also controversial. According to Kay (1990) *Callicebus* is the most basal of the callitrichine tree, and Ford (1986) places *Callicebus* together with *Cebus* and *Saimiri* as the most basal clade of the platyrrhines. However, Rosenberger & Coimbra-Filho (1984) and Rosenberger *et al.* (1990) argued that *Callicebus* and *Aotus* are sister lineages linked to the pitheciine clade. In a more drastic point of view, Tyler (1991) suggested that *Aotus* must be removed from the ceboid clade and placed in a sister group of the entire Anthropeoidea. Supporting this proposal, Tejedor (1998) additionally pointed out that *Aotus* and *Callicebus* are ancient taxa and suggested that they have a common ancestor. His belief is based on the assumption that the two Miocene genera, *Homunculus* and *Carlocebus*, show many primitive characters shared by both *Aotus* and *Callicebus*.

The authors also disagree on the internal arrangements within atelines and callitrichines. In the ateline clade the four genera are arranged in three different ways: *Ateles* and *Brachyteles* as sister groups (Rosenberger 1981), *Brachyteles*, *Lagothrix* and *Ateles* in a non-resolved trichotomy (Ford 1986), and two clades composed of *Ateles-Lagothrix* and *Alouatta-Brachyteles* (Kay 1990). Inside the callitrichines, most of the authors consider the gummivorous *Cebuella* and *Callitrix* as the most derived lineages. For *Saguinus* and *Leontopithecus* there are two proposals: one places *Leontopithecus* as a sister group of *Callitrix-Cebuella* (Rosenberger 1981) and the other places *Saguinus* as a sister group of the gummivorous monkeys (Ford 1986, Kay 1990).

THE MOLECULAR DATA

Molecular data suitable to cladistic analysis of the New World monkeys were first obtained at the be-

ginning of the 90's, being the first DNA dataset of aligned sequences of the nuclear epsilon globin gene involving all 16 platyrrhine genera and was published by Schneider *et al.* (1993). Subsequently, a series of datasets was generated, some including all extant New World primate genera (Schneider *et al.* 1996, Canavez *et al.* 1999a, von Dornam & Ruvolo 1999, Goodman *et al.* 1998), while others concentrated on particular clades (Canavez *et al.* 1999b, Chaves *et al.* 1999, Meireles *et al.* 1999, Porter *et al.* 1997a b, Porter *et al.* 1999, Pastorini *et al.* 1998, Tagliaro *et al.* 1997).

Table I summarizes the results involving four nuclear datasets (IRBP, EPSILON, G6PD, and β -2M) for all extant New World primates, and additional molecular data (vWF, upstream region EPSILON, γ -Globin, ND4, and tRNA) including specific clades. The four DNA datasets (EPSILON, IRBP, G6PD and β -2M) were combined in tandem to perform a total analysis using Maximum parsimony (MP) and Neighbor-Joining (NJ) approaches utilizing PAUP version 4.0b3a (Swofford, 1998). Figure 1 shows the consensus tree. Percentages at nodes indicate bootstrap support for that particular node, while nodes with stars mean 99-100% bootstrap support. The branch lengths of the Neighbor-Joining tree (Saitou & Nei, 1987) were estimated by the least-squares method referred to in Takezaki *et al.* (1995). MP and NJ trees were almost identical, differing only in the placement of *Aotus*. The MP tree placed *Aotus* as the basal lineage of the Callitrichine clade, while NJ showed *Aotus* to occur in an unresolved trichotomy with the *Cebus-Saimiri* clade and the callitrichines.

The four nuclear genes (β -2M, EPSILON, G6PD, and IRBP) analyzed separately are not congruent with regards to the close relationship among the three major clades (pitheciine, ateline, callitrichine). EPSILON and β -2M support a pitheciine-ateline clade, whereas G6PD groups the ateline with the callitrichine clade, and IRBP shows the pitheciine clade to be closer to the callitrichine clade. The topology resulting from the total analysis, favors the

TABLE I
DNA Studies that Elucidate New World monkeys Phylogeny

GENES	Kb	AUTHORS	Pitheciine- Ateline- Cebid relationship	<i>Aotus</i> , <i>Cebus</i> and <i>Saimiri</i> as closely linked to callitrichines	<i>Callicebus</i> as basal genus of the pitheciine clade	<i>Lagothrix</i> and <i>Brachyteles</i> as sister group in the ateline clade	Callitrichine clade	
			BS%	BS%	BS%	BS%	<i>Saguinus</i> as basal genus	<i>Callimico</i> and <i>Callithrix</i> as sister group
EPSILON	1,8	Schneider <i>et al.</i> 1993	PA=79	94	99	86	77*	69
IRBP	1,9	Schneider <i>et al.</i> 1996	PC=96	99	100	77	100	84**
G6PD	1,2	Von Dorman & Ruvolo, 1999	AC=40	83	78	72	100	80
B2M	1,7	Canavez <i>et al.</i> 1999a	PA=72	56	87	96	100	92
vWF	0,7	Chaves <i>et al.</i> 1999					98	84
EPSILON and 5' region	1,8 to 4,3	Porter <i>et al.</i> 1997a	PAC=100	100				
EPSILON 5' region	1,2 – 1,4	Porter <i>et al.</i> 1999			100			
ND4, tRNAs	0,8	Pastorini <i>et al.</i> 1998					81*	80
Gamma- Globin	9,6	Meireles <i>et al.</i> 1999				100		

BS: Bootstrap Support. Bootstrapping involves creating a new data set by sampling N characters randomly with replacement, so that the resulting data set has the same size as the original, but some characters have been left out and others are duplicated. The random variation of the results from analyzing these bootstrapped data sets can be shown statistically to be typical of the variation that you would get from collecting new data sets (Felsenstein, 1985).

PA = Pitheciines × Atelines; PC = Pitheciines × Cebids; AC = Atelines × Cebids; PAC = unresolved trichotomy

**Saguinus* and *Leontopithecus* as sister group

***Callimico* and *Leontopithecus* as sister group of *Callithrix*

ateline-pitheciine clade, but the bootstrap support is not strong (78%) in the MP tree. In addition, Porter *et al.* (1997b)'s analysis using 5'upstream region of epsilon gene in selected primates taxa showed the three major clades in an unresolved trichotomy. The poor resolution of the phylogenetic reconstruction of the three major platyrrhine lineages, resulting from the most robust molecular dataset so far available for the New World monkeys, strongly suggests that the pitheciines, atelines and cebids emerged at almost the same time in the platyrrhine radiation. These lineages are named by Schneider *et al.* 1996, Schneider & Rosenberger 1996 and Goodman *et al.* 1998, as the Atelidae, Pitheciidae and Cebidae fam-

ilies.

In the Pitheciidae family the four nuclear genes and the joint DNA analysis significantly placed *Callicebus* as a sister group of the traditional pitheciines, *Pithecia*, *Chiropotes* and *Cacajao* (bootstrap values ranging from 78 to 100%). These results were reinforced by the complementary study of Porter *et al.* (1999) encompassing more than 4 Kb of the epsilon globin gene and its upstream region.

The joint analysis strongly supports the monophyly of the Cebidae family, grouping *Aotus*, *Cebus*, and *Saimiri* with the small callitrichines (bootstrap values from 83 to 100%). Additionally, three of the four nuclear genes (IRBP, G6PD, β -2M), and




Fig. 1 – Phylogenetic tree found for the 17 sequences of the $\beta 2$ -M, EPSILON, G6PD and IRBP genes aligned in tandem. Branch lengths and standard deviations were estimated based on least-squares method described in Takezaki *et al.* (1995). Divergence times were estimated using the local molecular clock strategy as described in Schneider *et al.* (1993). MP Bootstrap values at the nodes were obtained in 1000 replicates. Stars at nodes means BS of 99-100%. The length and Consistency index of the MP tree was 2855 and 0.83, respectively.

the epsilon upstream region of Porter *et al.* (1997a, 1999) also indicate a close relationship between *Cebus* and *Saimiri*. Among the callitrichines, additional molecular data of Pastorini *et al.* (1998) using mitochondrial DNA; Chaves *et al.* (1999), Canavez *et al.* (1999a b), using nuclear DNA (intron 11 of von Willebrand, β -2-microglobulin genes), strongly supported the reclassification of Cebuela as belonging to the *Callithrix* genus (See also Porter *et al.* 1997b, Barroso *et al.* 1997) and also significantly placed *Callimico* as a sister group of *Callithrix*, contrary to the traditional view of *Callimico* as being basal to the callitrichines. Five of the six genes, and the joint analysis placed *Saguinus* as the basal genus of the callitrichine tree with significant bootstrap values (98-100%). Finally, four nuclear genes and the joint analysis showed a congruent branching pattern in the atelid clade, placing *Alouatta* as the most basal lineage and *Brachyteles* from the Atlantic forest) and *Lagothrix* (from the Amazonian forest), as sharing the last common ancestor (bootstrap values ranging from 78 to 100%), contrasting to the widely disseminated view that *Ateles* and *Brachyteles* share the last common ancestor in the ateline clade. Furthermore, as shown in Table I, the investigation by Meireles *et al.* (1999), encompassing more than 9 Kb of the gamma globin gene complex strongly supports the *Lagothrix-Brachyteles* clade (bootstrap value of 100%).

If, at the intergeneric level, the phylogenetic ties are almost elucidated, the same does not occur at the subgeneric level. In almost all polyspecific genera, the relationships among species are highly controversial. The callitrichines are the most investigated group until now at the intrageneric level. In the *Callithrix* genus there are two well defined groups: a jacchus group with an eastern geographic distribution (Atlantic forest), and an argentata group from the Amazonian region. DNA data from Tagliaro *et al.* (1997), Chaves *et al.* (1999) and Canavez *et al.* (1999a b), suggest that the Amazonian *Callithrix pygmaea* and *C. argentata* are closely related species, as expected in view of their geographic dis-

tribution. On the other hand, the molecular data does not support the recognition of the Amazonian *C. humeralifera* and the recently described species *C. mauesi* as distinct taxa (Tagliaro *et al.* 1997). Furthermore, in the jacchus group, which has a high diversity of morphotypes, Tagliaro *et al.* (1997) using highly variable mitochondrial DNA data, found that the *C. jacchus*, *C. kuhli*, *C. penicillata*, and *C. geoffroyi* species are very similar and that *C. aurita* is the earliest branch of the Atlantic forest group. In addition, the genetic divergence values between species of the *Callithrix* genus are very small, suggesting that speciation in this group is a very recent event.

THE AGES OF THE PLATYRRHINE CLADES

Following Avise & Johns (1999), divergence times were used to construct a provisional age-related classification of the New World monkeys (Table II). Using the catarrhine-platyrrhine split at 40 Ma (Mega annum) in the middle Eocene, according to Goodman *et al.* (1998, 1999), we estimate that the platyrrhine families, as total groups (Total groups includes all members of the crown group, the stem of the last common ancestor (LCA) and all extinct taxa) originated in the middle to late Oligocene epoch at 26 Ma.

According to our estimates, based on the joint molecular analysis of the combined nuclear genes and calibrating the molecular clock for the emergence of the families at the Oligocene-Miocene boundary (26 Ma), it appears that the Cebidae family split into the subfamilies Cebinae Aotinae at 22-23 Ma, each one with single extant monogeneric tribes (Cebini for *Cebus* and Aotini for *Aotus*). In the early to middle Miocene (16-13 Ma), the basal callitrichines branched into monogeneric subtribes Saguinina (*Saguinus*), Leontopithecina (*Leontopithecus*), *Callimiconina* (*Callimico*), and Callitrichina (*Callithrix*).

According to our proposal, all the members of the Pitheciidae family are grouped in a single subfamily, Pitheciinae, that split at 19 Ma into two

TABLE II

Provisional age-related New World monkeys Classification

Infraorder Platyrrhini	
Superfamily Ceboidea (26 Ma)	
Family Cebidae (23 Ma)	
Subfamily Cebinae (22 Ma)	
Tribe Cebini	<i>Cebus</i> Erxleben 1777
Tribe Saimiriini	<i>Saimiri</i> Voigt 1831
Subfamily Aotinae	
	<i>Aotus</i> Illiger 1811
Subfamily Callitrichinae	
Tribe Callitrichini (16 Ma)	
Subtribe Saguina	<i>Saguinus</i> Hoffmannsegg 1807
	<i>Leontopithecus</i> Lesson 1840
Subtribe Callimicomina	<i>Callimico</i> Thomas 1913
Subtribe Callitrichina	<i>Callithrix</i> Erxleben 1777
Family Pitheciidae	
Subfamily Pitheciinae (20 Ma)	
Tribe Callicebini	
	<i>Callicebus</i> Thomas 1903
Tribe Pitheciini	
Subtribe Pitheciina (11 Ma)	<i>Pithecia</i> Desmarest 1820
Subtribe Chiropotina (8 Ma)	<i>Chiropotes</i> Lesson 1840
	<i>Cacajao</i> Lesson 1840
Family Atelidae	
Subfamily Atelinae (15 Ma)	
Tribe Alouattini	
	<i>Alouatta</i> Lacépède 1799
Tribe Atelini (13 Ma)	
Subtribe Atelina	<i>Ateles</i> É. Geoffroy 1806
Subtribe Brachytelina (12 Ma)	<i>Brachyteles</i> Spix 1831
	<i>Lagothrix</i> É. Geoffroy 1812

tribes: the monogeneric Callicebini, with the subtribe Callicebina (*Callicebus*), and the Pitheciini with two subtribes, Pitheciina (*Pithecia*) and Chiropotina (*Chiropotes* and *Cacajao*).

For the Atelidae family, we estimate that at about 15 Ma the early atelines divided into two tribes: Alouattini (genus *Alouatta*) and Atelini,

this later tribe splitting in the middle to late Miocene (13 Ma) into two subtribes, Atelina (*Ateles*) and Brachytelina (*Lagothrix* and *Brachyteles*).

The branching events suggested for the Pitheciidae and Atelidae families are in agreement with fossil data. The existence of *Stirtonia*, *Cebupithecia*, and *Nuciraptor* fossils (related to *Alouatta*, pitheci-

ines and a sister group of pitheciines, respectively) in the middle Miocene scenario, indicates that the stem lineage of pitheciines and atelines were present at that time (Horowitz 1999). However, additional reliable fossil data are necessary to illuminate several other branching points in the New World monkey phylogenetic tree.

In summary, three evolutionary lineages are strongly established for the New World monkeys: atelines, pitheciines, and cebids. However, two major points regarding the branching patterns of the most ancient lineages remain to be clarified: (i) what is the exact branching pattern of *Aotus*, *Cebus*, *Saimiri* and the small callitrichines?; and (ii), which two of these three lineages, pitheciines, atelines or cebids, are more closely related?

On the other hand, at the subgeneric level, all the platyrrhine genera deserve to be well investigated. Our preliminary estimates indicate that the majority of the speciation processes seem to have occurred in the Pliocene-Pleistocene scenario (5 Ma or less). However, because the internodes are usually very small when species and populations are compared, even using highly variable mitochondrial DNA, to better understand the recent evolutionary processes of the New World monkeys, a global approach using geomorphology, biogeography, traditional morphology, behavior and cytogenetics, in association with DNA sequencing, should be advocated.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Dr. Iracilda Sampaio and Dr. Colin Beasley for critical reading of the manuscript. I am also grateful to Dr. Miranda van Dorman and Hector Seuanéz for providing the G6PD and β 2-M alignments. This work was supported by grants from UFPA, CNPq, FINEP, FAPESP.

REFERENCES

AVISE JC & JOHNS GC. 1999. Proposal for a standardized temporal scheme of biological classification for

extant species. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **96**: 7358-7363.

BARROSO CML, SCHNEIDER H, SCHNEIDER MPC, SAMPAIO I, HARADA ML, CZELUSNIAK J & GOODMAN M. 1997. Update on the phylogenetic systematics of New World monkeys: further evidence for placing the pygmy marmoset (*Cebuella*) within the genus *Callithrix*. *Int J Primatol* **18**: 645-668.

CANAVEZ FC, MOREIRA MAM, SIMON F, PARHAM P & SEUANÉZ HN. 1999b. Phylogenetic Relationships of the Callitrichinae (Platyrrhini, Primates) Based on β 2-Microglobulin DNA sequences. *Am J Primatol* **48**: 225-236.

CANAVEZ FC, MOREIRA MAM, LADOSKI JL, PISSINATI A, PARHAN P & SEUANÉZ HN. 1999a. Molecular Phylogenetics of New World primates (Platyrrhine) based on β 2-Microglobulin DNA Sequences. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* **12**: 74-82.

CHAVES R, SAMPAIO I, SCHNEIDER MPC, SCHNEIDER H, PAGE SL & GOODMAN M. 1999. The place of *Callicomys goeldii* in the Callitrichine phylogenetic tree: Evidence from von Willebrand Factor Gene Intron II Sequences. *Molecular Phylogenet Evol* **13**: 392-404.

FELSEINSTEIN J. 1985. Confidence limits on Phylogeny: An approach using the bootstrap. *Evolution* **39**: 783-791.

FORD SM. 1986. Systematics of the New World monkeys. In: Comparative Primate Biology, Volume I: Systematics, Evolution and Anatomy (DR SWINDLER & J ERWIN, Eds.) pp 73-135 Alan R. Liss, New York.

GOODMAN M. 1999. Molecular Evolution 99. The genomic Record of Humankind's Evolutionary Roots. *Am J Human Genet* **64**: 31-39.

GOODMAN M, PORTER CA, CZELUSNIAK J, PAGE SL, SCHNEIDER H, SHOSHANI J, GUNNELL G & GROVES CP. 1998. Toward a phylogenetic classification of primates based on DNA evidence complemented by fossil evidence. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* **9**: 585-598.

HERSHKOVITZ P. 1977. Living New World Monkeys, Part I (Platyrrhini), with an Introduction to Primates. Chicago: Chicago University Press.

- HOROWITZ I. 1999. A phylogenetic study of living and fossil Platyrrhines. *Am Mus Novitates* **3269**: 1-40.
- KAY RF. 1990. The phyletic relationships of extant and fossil Pitheciinae (Platyrrhini, Anthropeidea). *J Human Evol* **19**: 175-208.
- MEIRELES CM, CZESLUNIAK J, SCHNEIDER MPC, MUNIZ JAPC, BRIGIDO MC, FERREIRA HS & GOODMAN M. 1999. Molecular Phylogeny of Ateline New World Monkeys (Platyrrhini, Atelinae) Based on (-Globin Gene Sequences: Evidence that *Brachyteles* is the sister group of *Lagothrix*. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* **12**: 10-30.
- PASTORINI J, FORSTNER MRJ, MARTIN RD & MELNICK DJ. 1998. A Reexamination of the phylogenetic position of *Callimico* (Primates) incorporating new mitochondrial DNA sequence data. *J Mol Evol* **47**: 32-41.
- PORTER CA, CZELUSNIAK J, SCHNEIDER H, SCHNEIDER MPC, SAMPAIO I & GOODMAN M. 1997b. Sequences of the primate epsilon-globin gene: implications for systematics of the marmosets and other New World primates. *Gene* **205**: 59-71.
- PORTER CA, CZELUSNIAK J, SCHNEIDER H, SCHNEIDER MP, SAMPAIO I & GOODMAN M. 1999. Sequences from the 5' flanking region of the epsilon-globin gene support the relationship of *Callicebus* with the pitheciins. *Am J Primatol* **48**: 69-75.
- PORTER CA, PAGE SL, CZESLUNIAK J, SCHNEIDER H, SCHNEIDER MPC, SAMPAIO I & GOODMAN M. 1997a. Phylogeny and Evolution of selected Primates as Determined by Sequences of the ϵ -globin locus and 5' flanking regions. *Int J Primatol* **18**: 261-295.
- ROSENBERGER AL. 1981. Systematics: the higher taxa. In: Ecology and behavior of neotropical Primates, Vol. 1 (AF COIMBRA-FILHO & R MITERMEIER, Eds.) pp 9-27 *Acad Brasil Cienc*, Rio de Janeiro.
- ROSENBERGER AL & COIMBRA-FILHO AF. 1984. Morphology, taxonomic status and affinities of the lion tamarins, *Leontopithecus* (Callitrichinae, Cebidae). *Folia Primatol* **42**: 149-179.
- ROSENBERGER AL, SETOGUCHI T & SHIGEHARA N. 1990. The fossil record of callitrichine primates. *J Hum Evol* **19**: 209-236.
- SAITOU N & NEI M. 1987. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol Biol Evol* **10**: 1073-1095.
- SCHNEIDER H & ROSENBERGER AL. 1996. Molecules, Morphology, and Platyrrhine systematics. In: Adaptive Radiations of Neotropical Primates. MA NORCONK, AL ROSENBERGER & PA GARBER (eds). Plenum Press, New York.
- SCHNEIDER H, SCHNEIDER MPC, SAMPAIO MIC, HARADA ML, STANHOPE M & GOODMAN M. 1993. Molecular phylogeny of the New World monkeys (Platyrrhini, Primates). *Mol Phylogenet Evol* **2**: 225-242.
- SCHNEIDER H, SAMPAIO I, HARADA ML, BARROSO CML, SCHNEIDER MPC, CZELUSNIAK J & GOODMAN M. 1996. Molecular phylogeny of the New World monkeys (Platyrrhini, Primates) based on two unlinked nuclear genes: IRBP intron I and ϵ -globin sequences. *Am J Phys Anthropol* **100**: 153-179.
- SIMONS EL. 1972. Primate Evolution: An Introduction to Man's Place in Nature. MacMillan, New York.
- SWOFFORD DL. 1998. PAUP* Beta Version. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (*and other Methods). Sinauer Associates, Inc. Publisher, Sunderland, Ma.
- TAGLIARO CH, SCHNEIDER MPC, SCHNEIDER H, SAMPAIO I & STANHOPE M. 1997. Marmoset phylogenetics, conservation perspectives, and evolution of the mtDNA control region. *Mol Biol Evol* **14**: 674-684.
- TAKEZAKI N, RZHETSKY A & NEI M. 1995. Phylogenetic Test of the Molecular Clock and Linearized Trees. *Mol Biol Evol* **12**: 823-833.
- TEJEDOR MF. 1998. La position de *Aotus* y *Callicebus* en la filogenias de los primatas platirrininos. *Bol Primatol Lat* **7**: 13-29.
- TYLER DE. 1991. The evolutionary relationships of *Aotus*. *Folia Primatol* **56**: 50-52.
- VON DORMAN M & RUVOLO M. 1999. Phylogenetic Relationships of the New World Monkeys (Primates, Platyrrhini) Based on Nuclear G6PD DNA Sequences. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* **11**: 459-476.