Effect of andiroba oil on periodontitis in Wistar rats
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ABSTRACT

PURPOSE: To evaluate the effects of andiroba oil on the periodontitis in rats.
METHODS: The periodontitis was induced by the placement of cotton ligatures around the cervix of the second upper molars on fifteen rats, and waiting fifty days. The animals were randomly distributed into three groups: saline group, andiroba oil group and meloxican group, differentiated by substance used in the treatment of periodontitis. The groups received the respective substance by gavage for seven days, after the periodontitis induced. It was analyzed the score of inflammatory cells and the measurement from the cemento-enamel junction to the bone crest.
RESULTS: The andiroba oil group (p=0.008) and meloxican group (p=0.0347) show a less score of inflammatory cells than saline group, however there weren’t difference between them (p=0.2754). Regarding the analysis of measurement from the cemento-enamel junction to the bone crest, there was no difference between groups studied (p=0.3451).
CONCLUSION: Andiroba oil decreased the quantity of inflammatory cells, however, it didn’t have an effect on the measurement of alveolar bone loss, like the treatment with Meloxican®.
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Introduction

The oral cavity is a natural reservoir of thousands of micro-organisms with a high potential for infection. When associated with a poor oral hygiene and / or indiscriminate use of antibiotics can easily cause a differentiated of the microbiota on this area of the body.

This colonization can progress to the formation of periodontal disease that is characterized as an infectious disease that results in the destruction and degradation of periodontal tissues by the local action of periodontopathogenic microorganisms. These microorganisms release substances that lead to progressive destruction of structures supporting the teeth: the periodontal ligament, the alveolar bone and gingival tissues.

The periodontitis, a chronic inflammatory oral disease, affects a major part of world’s population characterized by localized or generalized bone resorption, reaching about 10-15% of the adult population. And these rates are very high in patients with diabetes, hypertension or smoking.

The treatment of periodontitis is the reduction or elimination the present periodontal bacteria, however, the mechanical therapy as the only therapeutic modality may fail to eliminate harmful bacteria present in the soft tissue, and there is also an aggravating factor in inaccessible areas to periodontal instruments, such as surfaces of the root bifurcation and depressions.

Because of it, researches were conducted in an attempt to improve the clinical management of this condition and is much used the administer of local and systemic antibiotics to control bacteria present on the periodontosis. However the antibiotics must be properly used to avoid the selection of new resistant bacteria. But now already can be see that due to the indiscriminate use of antimicrobials by the population for the treatment of many diseases, eventually select multiple etiological agents of oral flora, making them resistant to the use of several conventional antimicrobials.

The use of medicinal plants is a growing practice in developed countries and a widely used therapy in developing countries. This practice is currently being promoted by the World Health Organization. A plant of featured on Amazon is the Andiroba, whose oil is attributed to several properties by local people, some of these have been scientifically proven, the most prominent being the anti-inflammatory and antimicrobial activities.

The use of medicinal plants have great importance to science, since these can be extracted from the active principles of great therapeutic value, but the indiscriminate use of these can bring harm to health, since there are few studies that verify the potential harms these in the body. The objective of this study is to evaluate the effects of andiroba oil orally administered on experimental periodontitis induced in rats.

Methods

Approved by the Ethics Committee in the Use of Animals of the State University of Para (UEPA), protocol 40/11. This study used the andiroba oil species *Carapa guianensis*, supplied by EMBRAPA, previously submitted to a physicochemical analyze to define its composition.

Fifteen male Wistar rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) were used, weighing between 210 - 250 grams, provided from the Animal Colony of the Experimental Surgery Laboratory of UEPA. They were kept in a controlled environment, with food and water *ad libitum*.

The periodontitis was induced in all animals. For that, the rats were anesthetized with ketamine hydrochloride (70 mg/Kg) and xylazine hydrochloride (10 mg/Kg), intraperitoneally. Then, The animals had their oral tissues disinfected with chlorhexidine 0.12% and cotton ligatures (brand: Corrente®, nº 24) were placed around the cervix of the second upper molars (Figure 1). Fifty days was the period considered enough for the periodontitis induction.

After that they were randomized distributed into three study groups, with five animals each:
- Saline Group (GS): treated with 0.63ml/Kg of NaCl 0.9% by gavage;
- Andiroba oil Group (GA): treated with 0.63ml/Kg of andiroba oil by gavage;
- Meloxicam Group (GM): treated with 7.5ml/Kg of meloxicam by gavage.

FIGURE 1 – Oral cavity of the animal with the ligature.
After the fifty days of periodontitis induction, the animals were treated with saline (0.63mL/Kg), andiroba oil (0.63mL/Kg) and meloxicam (7.5mL/Kg), according to the respective group, by gavage, for seven days, once a day.

The maxilla was removed after animals’ euthanasia, the gingival tissue was preserved. The fragments were fixed in 10% formalin for 48 hours and decalcified in 10% nitric acid. So, after included in paraffin, they were processed to obtain 4 μm thick serial sections, which were made in buccolingual direction to compose histological blades. The specimens were stained with hematoxylin and eosin coloration. Score of inflammatory cells and measurement from the cemento-enamel junction to the bone crest were performed.

The score of inflammatory cells were observed by an optical microscopy Nikon Eclipse E-200 model. It was used increase of x4, x10 and x40. After that, the last increase was photographed with a standard camera Panasonic FS42 10.1 megapixel. The goal was to focus the area of connective tissue between the bottom of the epithelium to the alveolar bone crest. A previous trained, single blinded examiner was able to count the inflammatory cells (lymphocytes, plasmocytes, eosinophils) using the program ImageJ® 1.45s.

After scanning all the blades (Aperio ScanScope CS© - Aperio Technologies, Vista, CA, USA), a trained observer, blinded to the group, used the software ImageScope© to obtain an oblique measurement from the cemento-enamel junction to the bone crest (Figure 2).

Statistical analysis was performed with the program Bioestat 5.3©. All the data were undergone to normality test (Shapiro-Wilk). Replicability was tested by intraclass correlation. The medians (Md) and Interquartile deviations were presented in the graphics. Kruskall Wallis test was applied, considering a p-value less than 0.05 as significant.

Results

During the 50 days, no animals died, however, three animals were replaced because the ligature were missing. All animals showed an inflammatory reaction around teeth with the ligature.

The score of inflammatory cells were described in Figure 3. In the GS was identified 32.13 ±15.41 inflammatory cells, in the GA was identified 14.72 ±10.26 cells and on the GM was identified 20.42 ±16.19 cells, that have a statistically significant difference between the andiroba oil group (p=0.008) and meloxicam group (p=0.0347) than Saline group, although there were no difference between the andiroba oil group and meloxicam group (p=0.2754).

![Figure 2](image1.png)

**Figure 2** – Figure shows the measurement from the cemento-enamel junction to the bone crest.
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**Figure 3** – Score of inflammatory cells according to each group.

Regarding the histometric analysis, there was no difference between groups studied in relation to the measurement from the cemento-enamel junction to the bone crest (p=0.3451). The Table 1 shows the averages of the measurement according to each group.
TABLE 1 - Averages of the measurement from the cemento-enamel junction to the bone crest according to each group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Distance (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GS</td>
<td>0.619 ±0.304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>0.754 ±0.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM</td>
<td>0.645 ±0.239</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Research protocol  
p=0.3451 (Kruskall Wallis)

Discussion

Periodontal disease is a disease neglected by much of the population due to present little or no immediate clinical impact, being considered by the lay population only as an aesthetic problem. However, this disease can present systemic repercussions, especially cardiac and infectious, the example of infective endocarditis2,3,19.

Only the mechanical treatment for the periodontitis is, sometimes, not enough to completely eliminate the bacteria present. The use of antibiotics to treat this disease was not effective due to the resistance of microorganisms and variety of oral flora10,11. Thus, the search for new fonts of treatment is important to this pathology. In this context there is the andiroba, an Amazon region plant, which has antibiotics and anti-inflammatory effects proven16,17.

The periodontitis experimental model is widely used in literature, featuring 100% chance of causing tissue disease, after the minimum period of 30 days12, however it was used the period of 50 days to ensure greater bone loss, showing more efficiently effects of oil andiroba20. This model generates an accumulation of plaque around the cotton ligature. This simulates the human tooth plaque with physiopathological repercussions3,4,5.

Regarding the inflammatory score, the andiroba oil decreased the number of inflammatory cells identified in histology with a similar effect of meloxicam, with anti-inflammatory effects demonstrated in periodontitis15. The chronic inflammation is the main mechanism that promotes the destruction and dental fragility, facilitating the occurrence of bacteremia. In addition to continuing production of interleukins and cytokines induce exacerbation of thromboembolic phenomena and atherosclerotic3,4,19.

However, even reducing the inflammatory response andiroba oil had no effect on the extent of loss dental alveolar bone. This parameter is the primary evaluator of recovery and healing of periodontal disease2,3. The recovery of this barrier has a fundamental importance to avoid bacteremia during feeding or dental procedures.

In several studies with similar methodology6,12,13,18, but with different substances testing, was found decreased inflammatory response but was not shown difference on the measurement from the cemento-enamel junction, this fact probably occurs because the short time of analysis after application of substances, which is usually after seven days. Thus, further researches are necessary to observe how much time is appropriate to manage the substances for the recovery of bone loss. So that will encourage future studies to adopt this new period.

The andiroba oil showed satisfactory effects in an induced periodontitis in rats in a systemic administration. Because of the anti-inflammatory and antibacterial agents, the andiroba topically administered or inside dental dentifrices would probably lead to better results. The concentration of the best active principles of the plant associate with mechanic therapy would improve the clinical outcomes3. New studies are required to verify the effects of the oil in these two distinct ways of administration.

Conclusion

Andiroba oil decreased the quantity of inflammatory cells. However, it didn’t have effectiveness on the measure of alveolar bone loss, like the treatment with meloxicam.
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