

Research Article

New molecular evidence supports the species status of Kaempfer's Woodpecker (Aves, Picidae)

Lorena de Sousa Azevedo¹, Alexandre Aleixo², Marcos Pérsio Dantas Santos³, Iracilda Sampaio¹, Horacio Schneider¹, Marcelo Vallinoto¹ and Péricles Sena do Rêgo¹

¹Instituto de Estudos Costeiros, Universidade Federal do Pará, Bragança, PA, Brazil. ²Coordenação de Zoologia, Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi, Belém, PA, Brazil. ³Laboratório de Ecologia e Zoologia de Vertebrados, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal do Pará, Belém, PA, Brazil.

Abstract

Kaempfer's Woodpecker (*Celeus obrieni*) is the only species of the genus *Celeus* endemic to Brazil. The description of this taxon as a subspecies of the Rufous-headed Woodpecker (*Celeus spectabilis*) was based on a single specimen. While *C. obrieni* and *C. spectabilis* are now considered separate species based on morphological and limited molecular evidence, no study has critically tested the reciprocal monophyly and degree of evolutionary independence between these taxa with several specimens. Herein, fragments of the mitochondrial and nuclear DNA of three recently-collected specimens of *C. obrieni* were analyzed to evaluate the degree of evolutionary differentiation of this taxon with respect to *C. spectabilis*. The results confirm the reciprocal monophyly between the specimens of *C. obrieni* and *C. spectabilis*. The genetic divergence values for the two taxa also support their classification as independent species, given that they are greater than the values recorded among other closely-related but separate species of the same genus. Estimates of the divergence time between *C. obrieni* and *C. spectabilis* indicate that cladogenesis occurred in the mid-Pleistocene, during a period of major climatic fluctuations and landscape change, consistent with the hypothesis of a corridor of open bamboo dominated forests and woodland stretching.

Keywords: Bamboo, biogeography, *Celeus obrieni*, *Celeus spectabilis*, taxonomy. Received: September 20, 2012; Accepted: January 29, 2013.

Introduction

The woodpeckers of the genus *Celeus* are endemic to the Neotropics. At the present time, 10 species are recognized (Remsen *et al.*, 2012), of which, nine are found in Brazil. The Kaempfer's Woodpecker (*Celeus obrieni* Short 1973) is the only *Celeus* endemic to this country (Winkler and Christie, 2002; CBRO, 2011).

Celeus obrieni (Short 1973) was originally described as a subspecies of the Rufous-headed Woodpecker (*Celeus spectabilis*), based on a specimen collected in 1926 by Emil Kaempfer in the region of Uruçuí, in the Brazilian state of Piauí (Hidasi *et al.*, 2008). More than thirty years later, the South American Classification Committee (SACC - Remsen *et al.*, 2012) re-evaluated the status of *Celeus s. obrieni* based on a comparison of plumage characters with specimens of *C. spectabilis* from Ecuador, Peru, and Bolivia, and concluded that *obrieni* was a distinct species. The total lack of new records over almost a century led some ornithologists to believe that the taxon had become extinct (Tobias *et al.*, 2006). The first record since the original specimen collected by Emil Kaempfer was obtained only in 2006, when the species was rediscovered in Goiatins, in the northeast of the Brazilian state of Tocantins, some 400 km from the type locality (Prado, 2006).

Subsequently, several new records were obtained from the state of Maranhão (Santos and Vasconcelos, 2007; Santos *et al.*, 2010), in addition to the states of Tocantins (Pinheiro and Dornas, 2008), Goiás (Dornas *et al.*, 2009; Pinheiro *et al.*, 2012), and Mato Grosso (Dornas *et al.*, 2011). All of these new records extended the known geographic range of *C. obrieni* quite considerably. Even though, the size of the species population has yet to be defined, since its rediscovery more than 50 individuals have been recorded within an area extending more than one thousand kilometers between extreme localities of distribution. Despite this expansion in the known range of the species, currently estimated at some 280,000 km² (Benz and Robbins, 2011; BirdLife International, 2011), a cautious estimate of the total population is 50-250 individuals,

Send corresponce to Péricles Sena do Rêgo. Laboratório de Genética e Biologia Molecular, Instituto de Estudos Costeiros, Universidade Federal do Pará, Alameda Leandro Ribeiro S/N, 68.600-000 Bragança, PA, Brazil. E-mail: periclessena@ufpa.br.

which is consistent with the IUCN critically threatened (CR) category (IUCN, 2010).

Recently, Benz and Robbins (2011) published a phylogeny for the genus Celeus based on molecular and morphological data, including the genetic material from the holotype of C. obrieni, collected in 1926. This specimen was identified as the sister taxon of C. spectabilis, as predicted by the traditional classification. In their study, four mitochondrial (ND2, ND3, ATP6-8/COIII, and the Control Region) and two nuclear markers (Intron 7 of the β-fibrinogen gene and HMGN2) were analyzed, although only the ND2 and ND3 genes and part of the HMGN2 sequence were amplified successfully for C. obrieni (Benz and Robbins, 2011). The results indicated a genetic divergence of approximately 1% between C. obrieni and C. spectabilis for the mitochondrial marker ND2. The authors suggested that further sampling would be needed to confirm the reciprocal monophyly of these forms and their status as distinct evolutionary lineages (Benz and Robbins, 2011). Nonetheless, Benz and Robbins (2011) treated species treatment for C. obrieni.

In the present study, a multi-locus molecular approach was used to confirm the validity of the species status of *C. obrieni*, an essential initial step in the development of a conservation plan for this threatened taxon. Three recently collected specimens of *C. obrieni* were sequenced, together with individuals representing three other species of the genus (*C. spectabilis, Celeus undatus* Waved Woodpecked, and *Celeus grammicus* Scale-breasted Woodpecked) to estimate phylogenetic relationships and pairwise genetic distances within this group.

Materials and Methods

Sampling

Three specimens of *C. obrieni* were collected by MPDS during surveys of bird populations at three sites in the Brazilian state of Maranhão (Figure 1, Table 1) - Serra da Raposa, (06°35'S, 43°37'W), in the municipality of São João dos Patos (specimen registered in the Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi [MPEG] under the accession number 61549), Fazenda Castiça (05°28' S, 43°13'W), in the municipality of Matões (MPEG 69978), and Fazenda Normasa (05°36'S, 43°28'W), in the municipality of Parnarama (MPEG 69979). Specimens were collected under special license 20902-1 issued to MPDS.

Samples of muscle tissue were obtained from each of the three specimens of *C. obrieni*, together with 16 samples of specimens representing three other *Celeus* species - *C. spectabilis* (n = 5), *C. undatus* (n = 2), and *C. grammicus* (n = 9) - all of which were provided by the ornithological collection of the Goeldi Museum (Table 1). Samples of *C. undatus* and *C. grammicus*, two sister lineages historically regarded as separate species by traditional taxonomy and close to *C. obrieni / spectabilis* (Benz and Robbins, 2011),



Figure 1 - Map showing the localities where *Celeus* specimens analyzed in the present study were collected.

were included in the analysis so that genetic distances between these species pairs could be contrasted. The samples were divided into aliquots and kept frozen at -20 °C until processing in the UFPA Genetics and Molecular Biology Laboratory.

Extraction, amplification and sequencing of the DNA

Once the samples were processed, the genetic material was extracted using the standard phenol-chloroform protocol, followed by precipitation in sodium acetate and isopropanol (Sambrook et al., 1989). The samples were then electrophoresed in 1% agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide and viewed under an UV transilluminator to the confirm the successful extraction of genetic material, its integrity and concentration. Using specific primers, the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) technique was used to amplify three regions of the mitochondrial genome (rDNA 16S, Cytochrome b, subunit 2 of the NADH dehydrogenase region) and one nuclear marker, intron 7 of the β-fibrinogen gene. The primers described by Sorenson et al. (1999) -L-15298 and H-16064 - were used for the cytochrome bgene (Cyt b), and those presented by Palumbi et al. (1991) -L-1987 and H-2609 -for the rDNA 16S (16S) gene. For subunit 2 of the NADH dehydrogenase region (ND2), the primers described by Hackett (1996) were used - H-6313 and L-5215. Part of intron 7 of the β -fibrinogen gene (I7BF) was amplified using the primers (FIB-BI7U and FIB-BI7L) described by Prychitko and Moore (1997).

Each reaction was conducted in a final volume of 25 μ L, containing 4 μ L of the deoxynucleotides (1.25 mM), 2.5 μ L of 10x *Taq* buffer, 1 μ L of MgCl₂ (25 mM), 0.5 μ L of each primer (200 ng/ μ L), approximately 80 ng of the total DNA extracted from the samples, 0.25 μ L of *Taq* poly-

Species number of Identification code (You, eber specimens) Collecting locality Cyr-B 16S ND2 17BF C. obriesi (n = 4) Cobl 109 (MPEG 6199) Brazil: Maranhão KC858911 KC858893 KC858934 KC858931 C. obriesi (n = 4) Cobl 139 (MPEG 6997) Brazil: Maranhão KC858912 KC858934 KC858943 KC858944 KC858943 C. obl 141 (MPEG 6997) Brazil: Aran KC858913 KC858894 KC858944 KC858946 - C. spectabilis (n = 7) - JF433290 - - JF433290 - C. spectabilis (n = 7) Srazil: Arare KC858914 KC858897 KC858934 KC858932 C. sp786 (MPEG 61255) Brazil: Arare KC858917 KC858989 KC858939 KC858939 KC858931 C. sp786 (MPEG 61257) Brazil: Arare KC858918 KC858990 KC858939 KC858939 KC858939 KC858939 KC858939 KC858931 - D D S JF433281 - D D S S KC858931 KC							
C. obrien(n = 4) Coh109 (MPEG 6159) Brazil: Marnahão KC8:S8912 KC85892 KC858943 KC858913 C. ob1141 (MPEG 6097) Brazil: Marnahão KC8:S8913 KC858944 KC858914 C. ob1414 (MPEG 6097) Brazil: Marnahão KC8:S8913 KC858944 KC858945 C. spectabilis (n = 7) Stanil: Piani n. J. Pi433200 n. C. spectabilis (n = 7) KC858913 KC858946 KC858944 KC858946 KC858944 KC858944 <td>Species (number of specimens)</td> <td>Identification code (Vou- cher specimen number)</td> <td>Collecting locality</td> <td>Cyt-B</td> <td>16S</td> <td>ND2</td> <td>I7BF</td>	Species (number of specimens)	Identification code (Vou- cher specimen number)	Collecting locality	Cyt-B	16S	ND2	I7BF
Cob Cob Brazi: Brazi: KC85891 KC85892 KC85893 KC858943 KC858914 Cob Brazi: Brazi: Marnhilo KC858913 KC858934 KC858943 KC858914 Cob Brazi: Brazi: Ful - JF33220 - Csp764 Brazi: Parazi: Parazi: Parazi: Parazi: Parazi: Parazi: KC858914 KC858914 KC858914 KC858914 KC858914 KC858914 KC858914 KC858912 KC858917 KC858914 KC8	C. obrieni $(n = 4)$						
Cobi399 (MPEG 69978) Brazil: Maranhão KC858912 KC858934 KC858944 KC858944 Cabi141 (MPEG 69979) Brazil: Maranhão KC858913 KC858944 KC858944 - AMNH242687* Brazil: Piauí - - F433200 - C: spectabilis (n = 7) - - KC858915 KC858946 KC858931 C:sp76 (MPEG 61256) Brazil: Acre KC858916 KC858897 KC858948 KC858933 C:sp750 (MPEG 61255) Brazil: Acre KC858916 KC858897 KC8589394 KC858933 C:sp750 (MPEG 61257) Brazil: Acre KC858917 KC858896 KC858930 KC8589394 LSUMNS 10664* Pen: Wadre 6 Dios - - J433280 J433183 C: undatus (n = 4) - - J433280 KC858931 - C: undatus (n = 4) Cur228 (MPEG 61715) Brazil: Pará KC858920 KC858921 KC858931 C: grammicus (n = 1) Cur229 (MPEG 57567) Brazil: Amazonas KC858923 KC858930 KC858935		Cob1109 (MPEG 61549)	Brazil: Maranhão	KC858911	KC858892	KC858943	KC858930
Cob1414 (MPEG 6997) Brazil: Maranhão KC858913 KC858945 KC858945 . C. spectabilis (n = 7) - .		Cob1399 (MPEG 69978)	Brazil: Maranhão	KC858912	KC858893	KC858944	KC858931
AMNIR242687* Brazil: Pinui - JF433290 - C. spectabilis (n = 7) <td></td> <td>Cob1414 (MPEG 69979)</td> <td>Brazil: Maranhão</td> <td>KC858913</td> <td>KC858894</td> <td>KC858945</td> <td>-</td>		Cob1414 (MPEG 69979)	Brazil: Maranhão	KC858913	KC858894	KC858945	-
C. spectabilis (n = 7) C. spectabilis (n = 7) C. sp70 (MPEG 58371) Brazil: Acre KC858914 KC85895 KC85896 KC85896 KC85897 KC85896 C. Sc85897 KC85896 KC85897 KC		AMNH242687*	Brazil: Piauí	-	-	JF433290	-
Cap70 (MPEG 58371) Brazil: Acre KC858914 KC858950 KC858960 Csp786 (MPEG 61250) Brazil: Acre KC858916 KC858897 KC858903 KC858903 Csp789 (MPEG 61253) Brazil: Acre KC858916 KC858898 KC858905	C. spectabilis $(n = 7)$)					
Csp786 (MPEG 61250) Brazil: Acre KC858915 KC85896 KC85897 KC858930 Csp789 (MPEG 61254) Brazil: Acre KC858917 KC858989 KC858930 Csp791 (MPEG 61257) Brazil: Acre KC858918 KC858990 KC858930 Csp846 (MPEG 61257) Brazil: Acre KC858918 KC858990 KC858930 LSUMNS 10664* Peru: Uayali - - JF433281 - Cundatus (n = 4) - - JF433281 - - Cun228 (MPEG 61715) Brazil: Pará KC858910 KC858901 KC858951 - Cun229 (MPEG 61716) Brazil: Pará KC858920 KC858901 KC858952 KC858931 Cun229 (MPEG 61716) Brazil: Amazonas KC858920 KC858901 KC858936 JF433143 Cun229 (MPEG 61716) Brazil: Amazonas KC858923 KC858903 KC858933 - Cun229 (MPEG 61716) Brazil: Amazonas KC858923 KC858903 KC858937 - Cun229 (MPEG 57067) Brazil: Amazonas KC858924		Csp70 (MPEG 58371)	Brazil: Acre	KC858914	KC858895	KC858946	-
Csp789 (MPEG 61254) Brazil: Acre KC858916 KC858987 KC858948 KC85893 Csp791 (MPEG 61255) Brazil: Acre KC858917 KC858988 KC858900 KC858930 Csp846 (MPEG 61257) Brazil: Acre KC858918 KC858998 KC858900 KC858930 LSUMNS 45460* Peru: Ucayali - . JF433281 C. undatus (n = 4) - . JF433280 JF433143 C. undatus (n = 4) - . JF433267 JF433143 C. undatus (n = 4) - . JF433267 JF433143 C. undatus (n = 14) - . . JF433267 JF433143 C. grammicus (n = 14) - . . JF433267 JF433143 C. grammicus (n = 14) - . . . KC858930 KC858953 C. grammicus (n = 14) - . C gr174 (MPEG 57567) Brazi: Amazo		Csp786 (MPEG 61256)	Brazil: Acre	KC858915	KC858896	KC858947	KC858932
Csp791 (MPEG 61255) Brazil: Acre KC858917 KC858988 KC858949 KC858936 Csp846 (MPEG 61257) Brazil: Acre KC858918 KC858990 KC858930 KC858936 LSUMNS 45460* Peru: Madre de Diös - . JF433281 . Cundatus (n = 4) - . JF433280 JF433138 C. undatus (n = 4) - . JF433280 KC858936 KUNHM 5765* Guyana - . JF433266 JF433143 KUNHM 5829* Guyana - . JF433266 JF433142 C. grammicus (n = 14) . . . JF433266 JF433143 Cgr10 (MPEG 57567) Brazil: Amazonas KC858921 KC858903 KC858936 KC858937 Cgr102 (MPEG 59384) Brazil: Amazonas KC858924 KC858905 KC858936 KC858936 Cgr103 (MPEG 57020) Brazil: Amazonas KC858926 KC858937 KC858936 Cgr103 (MPEG 62597) Brazil: Amazonas KC858926 KC858906 .		Csp789 (MPEG 61254)	Brazil: Acre	KC858916	KC858897	KC858948	KC858933
Csp846 (MPEG 61257) Brazil: Acre KC858918 KC85899 KC858950 KC858931 LSUMNS 45460* Peru: Madre de Dios - - JF433281 - LSUMNS 10664* Peru: Ucayali - - JF433280 JF433138 C. undatus (n = 4) Cun228 (MPEG 61715) Brazil: Pará KC858910 KC858901 KC858951 - Cun220 (MPEG 61715) Brazil: Pará KC858920 KC858901 KC858952 KC858913 KUNHM 5765* Guyana - - JF433266 JF433143 C graumicus (n = 14) - - JF433261 JF433143 C graumicus (n = 14) - Cgr74 (MPEG 57567) Brazil: Amazonas KC858923 KC858903 KC858956 KC858939 C graumicus (n = 14) -		Csp791 (MPEG 61255)	Brazil: Acre	KC858917	KC858898	KC858949	KC858934
LSUMNS 45460* Pern: Madre de Dios - JF433281 - LSUMNS 10664* Pern: Ucayali - - JF433280 JF433183 C. undatus (n = 4) - JF433280 JF433183 - C. undatus (n = 4) Srazil: Pará KC858919 KC858900 KC858951 - Cun229 (MPEG 61715) Brazil: Pará KC858920 KC858901 KC858952 KC858952 KUNHM 5765* Guyana - - JF433267 JF433142 cgrammicus (n = 14) - Gr474 (MPEG 57567) Brazil: Amazonas KC858921 KC858902 KC858953 - Cgr476 (MPEG 57567) Brazil: Amazonas KC858921 KC858903 KC858937 - Cgr470 (MPEG 57567) Brazil: Amazonas KC858923 KC858903 KC858956 KC858957 Cgr102 (MPEG 57901) Brazil: Amazonas KC858924 KC858905 KC858956 KC858957 Cgr130 (MPEG 62597) Brazil: Amazonas KC858927 KC858907 KC858956 KC858941 </td <td></td> <td>Csp846 (MPEG 61257)</td> <td>Brazil: Acre</td> <td>KC858918</td> <td>KC858899</td> <td>KC858950</td> <td>KC858935</td>		Csp846 (MPEG 61257)	Brazil: Acre	KC858918	KC858899	KC858950	KC858935
LSUMNS 10664* Peru: Ucayali - JF433280 JF43318 C. undatus (n = 4) Cun228 (MPEG 61715) Brazil: Pará KC858910 KC858901 KC858951 <.		LSUMNS 45460*	Peru: Madre de Díos	-	-	JF433281	-
C. undatus (n = 4) Cun228 (MPEG 61715) Brazil: Pará KC858919 KC858900 KC858951 KC858952 KC858952 KC858953 KC858954 KC858953 Grgr40 (MPEG 57567) Brazil: Amazonas KC858921 KC858950 KC858953 KC858954 KC858953 KC858954 KC858955 KC858954 KC858956 KC858957 Cgr102 (MPEG 57021) Brazil: Amazonas KC858923 KC858950 KC858956 KC858957 KC858958 KC858959 KC858959 KC858959 KC858959 KC858950 KC858950		LSUMNS 10664*	Peru: Ucayalí	-	-	JF433280	JF433138
Cun228 (MPEG 61715) Brazil: Pará KC858919 KC858900 KC858951 - Cun229 (MPEG 61716) Brazil: Pará KC858920 KC858901 KC858952 KC858936 KUNHM 576* Guyana - - JF433267 JF433142 KUNHM 5829* Guyana - - JF433267 JF433142 C grammicus (n = 14) - - JF433267 KC858937 KC858902 KC858953 KC858937 C gr49 (MPEG 57567) Brazil: Amazonas KC858922 KC858903 KC858955 KC858937 C gr40 (MPEG 5702) Brazil: Amazonas KC858923 KC858905 KC858956 KC858939 C gr102 (MPEG 5702) Brazil: Amazonas KC858925 KC858906 KC858939 KC858939 C gr130 (MPEG 62597) Brazil: Amazonas KC858927 KC858908 KC858959 KC85894 C gr380 (MPEG 5385) Brazil: Amazonas KC858929 KC858909 KC858960 - ANSP 2477* Brazil: Amazonas KC858929 KC858910 KC858961	C. undatus $(n = 4)$						
Cun229 (MPEG 61716) Brazil: Pará KC858920 KC858901 KC858952 KC858936 KUNHM 5765* Guyana - - JF433267 JF433143 KUNHM 5829* Guyana - - JF433266 JF433142 C. grammicus (n = 14) - JF433266 JF433142 C. grammicus (n = 14) KC858921 KC858902 KC858953 - Cgr74 (MPEG 57567) Brazil: Amazonas KC858922 KC858903 KC858955 KC858938 Cgr102 (MPEG 57021) Brazil: Amazonas KC858923 KC858904 KC858956 KC858938 Cgr103 (MPEG 57020) Brazil: Amazonas KC858925 KC858905 KC858939 Cgr180 (MPEG 65297) Brazil: Amazonas KC858927 KC858906 KC858959 KC858942 Cgr380 (MPEG 6529) Brazil: Amazonas KC858928 KC858908 KC858961 - Cgr380 (MPEG 6529) Brazil: Amazonas KC858929 KC858906 - - Cgr380 (MPEG 65299) Brazil: Amazonas KC85		Cun228 (MPEG 61715)	Brazil: Pará	KC858919	KC858900	KC858951	-
KUNHM 5765* Guyana - - JF433267 JF433143 KUNHM 5829* Guyana - - JF433266 JF433142 C. grammicus (n = 14) KC858921 KC858902 KC858903 KC858953 - Cgr49 (MPEG 57567) Brazil: Amazonas KC858922 KC858903 KC858954 KC858937 Cgr102 (MPEG 5702) Brazil: Amazonas KC858923 KC858904 KC858956 KC858938 Cgr103 (MPEG 5702) Brazil: Amazonas KC858924 KC858905 KC858956 KC858936 Cgr186 (MPEG 62597) Brazil: Amazonas KC858926 KC858906 KC858959 KC858941 Cgr376 (MPEG 62598) Brazil: Amazonas KC858927 KC858906 - - Cgr380 (MPEG 62599) Brazil: Amazonas KC858928 KC858901 KC858959 KC858959 Cgr380 (MPEG 52677) Brazil: Amazonas KC858928 KC858906 - - Cgr380 (MPEG 52677) Brazil: Amazonas KC858928 KC858901 - -		Cun229 (MPEG 61716)	Brazil: Pará	KC858920	KC858901	KC858952	KC858936
KUNHM 5829* Guyana - - JF433266 JF433142 C. grammicus (n = 14) Cgr74 (MPEG 57567) Brazil: Amazonas KC858921 KC858902 KC858953 - Cgr89 (MPEG 59384) Brazil: Amazonas KC858922 KC858903 KC858953 KC858933 Cgr102 (MPEG 57021) Brazil: Amazonas KC858923 KC858905 KC858956 KC858938 Cgr103 (MPEG 57020) Brazil: Amazonas KC858924 KC858905 KC858956 KC858936 Cgr168 (MPEG 62597) Brazil: Amazonas KC858926 KC858907 KC858958 KC858941 Cgr376 (MPEG 59385) Brazil: Amazonas KC858927 KC858908 KC858959 KC858942 Cgr380 (MPEG 62599) Brazil: Amazonas KC858928 KC858909 KC858960 - Cgr613 (MPEG 58677) Brazil: Amazonas KC858929 KC858910 KC858950 - - ANSP 2477* Brazil: Amazonas KC858929 KC858910 KC858961 - - LSUMNS 6892* Peru: Loreto - - - - - - LS		KUNHM 5765*	Guyana	-	-	JF433267	JF433143
C. grammicus (n = 14) Cgr74 (MPEG 57567) Brazil: Amazonas KC858921 KC858902 KC858903 KC858933 KC858934 Brazil: Amazonas KC858922 KC858903 KC858954 KC858938 KC858934 KC858934 KC858934 KC858934 KC858934 KC858935 KC858936 KC858937 KC858936 KC858937 KC858936 KC858937 KC858938 KC858939 KC858940 C87613 (MPEG 5259) Brazii: Amazonas KC858910 KC858961 Cgr613 (MPEG 58677) Brazii: Amazonas KC858910 KC858910 KC858910 KC858910		KUNHM 5829*	Guyana	-	-	JF433266	JF433142
Cgr74 (MPEG 57567) Brazil: Amazonas KC858921 KC858902 KC858953 - Cgr89 (MPEG 59384) Brazil: Amazonas KC858922 KC858903 KC858954 KC858937 Cgr102 (MPEG 57021) Brazil: Amazonas KC858923 KC858904 KC858955 KC858938 Cgr103 (MPEG 57020) Brazil: Amazonas KC858924 KC858905 KC858956 KC858939 Cgr168 (MPEG 62597) Brazil: Amazonas KC858926 KC858907 KC858958 KC858941 Cgr376 (MPEG 559385) Brazil: Amazonas KC858926 KC858907 KC858959 KC858941 Cgr376 (MPEG 559385) Brazil: Amazonas KC858927 KC858908 KC858959 KC858942 Cgr380 (MPEG 62599) Brazil: Amazonas KC858928 KC858909 KC858960 - ANSP 2477* Ecuador: Morona-Santiago - JF433271 JF433140 FMNH 389782* Brazil: Rondônia - - JF433269 - LSUMNS 105252* Bolivia: Santa Cruz - JF433264 - -	C. grammicus $(n = 1)$	4)					
Cgr89 (MPEG 59384) Brazil: Amazonas KC858922 KC858903 KC858954 KC858937 Cgr102 (MPEG 57021) Brazil: Amazonas KC858923 KC858904 KC858955 KC858938 Cgr103 (MPEG 57020) Brazil: Amazonas KC858924 KC858905 KC858956 KC858939 Cgr168 (MPEG 62597) Brazil: Amazonas KC858926 KC858907 KC858958 KC858941 Cgr376 (MPEG 62598) Brazil: Amazonas KC858927 KC858908 KC858959 KC858942 Cgr380 (MPEG 62599) Brazil: Amazonas KC858928 KC858909 KC858960 - Cgr613 (MPEG 58677) Brazil: Amazonas KC858929 KC858910 KC858961 - ANSP 2477* Ecuador: Morona-Santiago - - JF433271 JF433140 FMNH 389782* Brazil: Rondônia - - JF433269 - ANSP 3253* Ecuador: Napo - - JF433269 - ANSP 3253* Ecuador: Napo - - JF433269 - C. elegans (n		Cgr74 (MPEG 57567)	Brazil: Amazonas	KC858921	KC858902	KC858953	-
Cgr102 (MPEG 57021) Brazil: Amazonas KC858923 KC858904 KC858955 KC858938 Cgr103 (MPEG 57020) Brazil: Amazonas KC858924 KC858905 KC858956 KC858939 Cgr168 (MPEG 62597) Brazil: Amazonas KC858926 KC858907 KC858958 KC858940 Cgr180 (MPEG 62598) Brazil: Amazonas KC858926 KC858907 KC858959 KC858940 Cgr376 (MPEG 59385) Brazil: Amazonas KC858927 KC858908 KC858959 KC858942 Cgr380 (MPEG 62599) Brazil: Amazonas KC858928 KC858909 KC858960 - ANSP 2477* Ecuador: Morona-Santiago - - JF433271 JF433140 FMNH 389782* Brazil: Rondônia - - JF433270 JF433141 LSUMNS 105252* Bolivia: Santa Cruz - - JF433269 - ANSP 3253* Ecuador: Napo - - JF433264 - - C elegans (n = 3) - - JF433264 - - -		Cgr89 (MPEG 59384)	Brazil: Amazonas	KC858922	KC858903	KC858954	KC858937
Cgr103 (MPEG 57020) Brazil: Amazonas KC858924 KC858905 KC858956 KC858939 Cgr168 (MPEG 62597) Brazil: Amazonas KC858926 KC858907 KC858958 KC858940 Cgr180 (MPEG 62598) Brazil: Amazonas KC858926 KC858907 KC858958 KC858941 Cgr376 (MPEG 5298) Brazil: Amazonas KC858927 KC858908 KC858959 KC858942 Cgr380 (MPEG 62599) Brazil: Amazonas KC858928 KC858909 KC858960 - Cgr613 (MPEG 58677) Brazil: Amazonas KC858929 KC858910 KC858961 - ANSP 2477* Ecuador: Morona-Santiago - JF433272 - - LSUMNS 6892* Peru: Loreto - - JF433270 JF433140 FMNH 389782* Brazil: Rondônia - - JF433269 - ANSP 3253* Ecuador: Napo - - JF433268 - C. elegans (n = 3) KUNHM 5764* Guyana - - - ANSP 4364* Peru: Loredo<		Cgr102 (MPEG 57021)	Brazil: Amazonas	KC858923	KC858904	KC858955	KC858938
Cgr168 (MPEG 62597) Brazil: Amazonas KC858925 KC858906 KC858957 KC858940 Cgr180 (MPEG 62598) Brazil: Amazonas KC858926 KC858907 KC858958 KC858941 Cgr376 (MPEG 59385) Brazil: Amazonas KC858927 KC858908 KC858959 KC858942 Cgr380 (MPEG 62599) Brazil: Amazonas KC858928 KC858909 KC858960 - Cgr613 (MPEG 58677) Brazil: Amazonas KC858929 KC858910 KC858961 - ANSP 2477* Ecuador: Morona-Santiago - - JF433272 - LSUMNS 6892* Peru: Loreto - - JF433270 JF433140 FMNH 389782* Brazil: Rondônia - - JF433269 - ANSP 3253* Ecuador: Napo - - JF433263 - C. elegans (n = 3) KUNHM 5764* Guyana - - - - KUNHM 5764* Peru: Loredo AY940795 - - - - LSU 4364** Peru: L		Cgr103 (MPEG 57020)	Brazil: Amazonas	KC858924	KC858905	KC858956	KC858939
Cgr180 (MPEG 62598) Brazil: Amazonas KC858926 KC858907 KC858958 KC858941 Cgr376 (MPEG 59385) Brazil: Amazonas KC858927 KC858908 KC858959 KC858942 Cgr380 (MPEG 62599) Brazil: Amazonas KC858928 KC858909 KC858960 - Cgr613 (MPEG 58677) Brazil: Amazonas KC858929 KC858910 KC858961 - ANSP 2477* Ecuador: Morona-Santiago - - JF433272 - LSUMNS 6892* Peru: Loreto - - JF433270 JF433140 FMNH 389782* Brazil: Rondônia - - JF433269 - ANSP 3253* Ecuador: Napo - - JF433268 - C. elegans (n = 3) KUNHM 5764* Guyana - - - - KUNHM 5764* Peru: Loredo AY940795 - - - - ANSP 4364* Peru: Loredo - - - - -		Cgr168 (MPEG 62597)	Brazil: Amazonas	KC858925	KC858906	KC858957	KC858940
Cgr376 (MPEG 59385) Brazil: Amazonas KC858927 KC858908 KC858959 KC858942 Cgr380 (MPEG 62599) Brazil: Amazonas KC858928 KC858909 KC858960 - Cgr613 (MPEG 58677) Brazil: Amazonas KC858929 KC858910 KC858961 - ANSP 2477* Ecuador: Morona-Santiago - - JF433272 - LSUMNS 6892* Peru: Loreto - - JF433270 JF433140 FMNH 389782* Brazil: Rondônia - - JF433269 - ANSP 3253* Ecuador: Napo - - JF433268 - C. elegans (n = 3) KUNHM 5764* Guyana - - - - KUNHM 5764* Peru: Loredo AY940795 - - - - ANSP 4364* Peru: Loredo - - - - -		Cgr180 (MPEG 62598)	Brazil: Amazonas	KC858926	KC858907	KC858958	KC858941
Cgr380 (MPEG 62599) Brazil: Amazonas KC858928 KC858909 KC858960 - Cgr613 (MPEG 58677) Brazil: Amazonas KC858929 KC858910 KC858961 - ANSP 2477* Ecuador: Morona-Santiago - - JF433272 - LSUMNS 6892* Peru: Loreto - - JF433270 JF433140 FMNH 389782* Brazil: Rondônia - - JF433269 - ANSP 3253* Bolivia: Santa Cruz - - JF433268 - C. elegans (n = 3) KUNHM 5764* Guyana - - JF433261 - LSU 4364** Peru: Loredo AY940795 - - JF433261 -		Cgr376 (MPEG 59385)	Brazil: Amazonas	KC858927	KC858908	KC858959	KC858942
Cgr613 (MPEG 58677) Brazil: Amazonas KC858929 KC858910 KC858961 - ANSP 2477* Ecuador: Morona-Santiago - - JF433272 - LSUMNS 6892* Peru: Loreto - - JF433270 JF433140 FMNH 389782* Brazil: Rondônia - - JF433269 JF433141 LSUMNS 105252* Bolivia: Santa Cruz - - JF433269 - ANSP 3253* Ecuador: Napo - - JF433268 - C. elegans (n = 3) KUNHM 5764* Guyana - - JF433261 - KUNHM 5764* Peru: Loredo AY940795 - - - - ANSP 4364* Peru: Loredo - - - -		Cgr380 (MPEG 62599)	Brazil: Amazonas	KC858928	KC858909	KC858960	-
ANSP 2477* Ecuador: Morona-Santiago - JF433272 - LSUMNS 6892* Peru: Loreto - - JF433271 JF433140 FMNH 389782* Brazil: Rondônia - - JF433270 JF433141 LSUMNS 105252* Bolivia: Santa Cruz - - JF433269 - ANSP 3253* Ecuador: Napo - - JF433268 - C. elegans (n = 3) - - JF433261 - KUNHM 5764* Guyana - - JF433261 - LSU 4364** Peru: Loredo AY940795 - - - ANSP 4364* Peru: Loredo - - JF433131		Cgr613 (MPEG 58677)	Brazil: Amazonas	KC858929	KC858910	KC858961	-
LSUMNS 6892* Peru: Loreto - - JF433271 JF433140 FMNH 389782* Brazil: Rondônia - - JF433270 JF433141 LSUMNS 105252* Bolivia: Santa Cruz - - JF433269 - ANSP 3253* Ecuador: Napo - - JF433268 - C. elegans (n = 3) - - JF433261 - KUNHM 5764* Guyana - - JF433261 - LSU 4364** Peru: Loredo AY940795 - - - ANSP 4364* Peru: Loredo - - JF433131		ANSP 2477*	Ecuador: Morona-Santiago	-	-	JF433272	-
FMNH 389782* Brazil: Rondônia - - JF433270 JF433141 LSUMNS 105252* Bolivia: Santa Cruz - - JF433269 - ANSP 3253* Ecuador: Napo - - JF433268 - C. elegans (n = 3) - - JF433261 - KUNHM 5764* Guyana - - JF433261 - LSU 4364** Peru: Loredo AY940795 - - - ANSP 4364* Peru: Loredo - - JF433131		LSUMNS 6892*	Peru: Loreto	-	-	JF433271	JF433140
LSUMNS 105252* Bolivia: Santa Cruz - JF433269 - ANSP 3253* Ecuador: Napo - - JF433268 - C. elegans (n = 3) - - JF433261 - KUNHM 5764* Guyana - - JF433261 - LSU 4364** Peru: Loredo AY940795 - - - ANSP 4364* Peru: Loredo - - JF433131		FMNH 389782*	Brazil: Rondônia	-	-	JF433270	JF433141
ANSP 3253* Ecuador: Napo - - JF433268 - C. elegans (n = 3) - - JF433261 - KUNHM 5764* Guyana - - JF433261 - LSU 4364** Peru: Loredo AY940795 - - - ANSP 4364* Peru: Loredo - - JF433131		LSUMNS 105252*	Bolivia: Santa Cruz	-	-	JF433269	-
C. elegans (n = 3) KUNHM 5764* Guyana - - JF433261 - LSU 4364** Peru: Loredo AY940795 - - - ANSP 4364* Peru: Loredo - - - JF433131		ANSP 3253*	Ecuador: Napo	-	-	JF433268	-
KUNHM 5764* Guyana - - JF433261 - LSU 4364** Peru: Loredo AY940795 - - - ANSP 4364* Peru: Loredo - - JF433131	C. elegans $(n = 3)$						
LSU 4364** Peru: Loredo AY940795 - - - - JF433131 ANSP 4364* Peru: Loredo - - - JF433131		KUNHM 5764*	Guyana	-	-	JF433261	-
ANSP 4364* Peru: Loredo JF433131		LSU 4364**	Peru: Loredo	AY940795	-	-	-
		ANSP 4364*	Peru: Loredo	-		-	JF433131

Table 1 - Celeus specimens analyzed in the present study, showing the species name, number of specimens analyzed, identification code, collecting locality, and GenBank accession numbers for the sequences of the different molecular markers analyzed.

*sequences obtained from Benz and Robbins (2011). ** sequences obtained from Webb and Moore (2005).

merase (5 U/µL, *Taq* DNA Polymerase, Recombinant -Invitrogen) and sterile distilled water to complete the final reaction volume. The PCR for each of the genetic markers was run in a thermocycler (GeneAmp, PCR System 9700 -Applied Biosystems). For the mitochondrial markers (rDNA 16S, Cyt *b*, and ND2), the protocol began with 3 min at 94 °C, followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, one minunte at 55 °C, two min at 72 °C, with a final extension of 7 min at 72 °C. For the nuclear fragment (I7BF), the protocol was 3 min at 94 °C, followed by 35 cycles of 20 s at

94 °C, 15 s at 50 °C, and 1 min at 72 °C, with a final extension of 7 min at 72 °C.

The amplified products were purified using an ExoSAP-IT kit (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech. Inc., UK). The purified samples were used for the sequencing reaction, using the dideoxy-terminal method (Sanger *et al.*, 1977) with reagents of the Big Dye kit (ABI PrismTM Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction - Applied Biosystems, USA), following the manufacturer's instructions. Reagents not incorporated during the reaction were eliminated through ethanol washes. The gene fragments resulting from this sequencing reaction had their nucleotide sequences determined by an ABI 3500 automatic sequencer (Applied Biosystems). Sequences obtained from GenBank for the Cyt *b* (Webb and Moore, 2005), ND2, and I7BF segments (Benz and Robbins, 2011) were also used in the present analysis (see Table 1 for access numbers).

Sequence alignment

The sequences obtained by electrophoresis were aligned automatically using the CLUSTAL-W application (Thompson et al., 1994), with the parameters suggested by Schneider (2007). The file generated by this procedure was converted into the FASTA format and transferred to the BioEdit sequence editor (Hall, 1999) for visual inspection of the alignment and possible correction of the codification of observed insertions or suppressions. The nucleotide composition, transition/transversion rates, polymorphic sites, and divergence rates (P distances) within and between species were calculated using the MEGA software, version 4.0. (Tamura et al., 2007). The DnaSP program, version 5.10 (Librado and Rozas, 2009) was used to determine the haplotypes obtained, and the Network program, version 4.6 (Bandelt et al., 1999) was used to produce a haplotype network.

Statistical analyses

The best fitting evolutionary model for each separate gene region and the concatenated data was determined by the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), run in jModeltest 0.1.1 (Posada, 2008). The phylogenetic arrangements of the species were obtained using the PAUP* program, v. 4.0b 10 (Swofford, 2002), for the production of maximum parsimony (MP) and maximum likelihood (ML) trees, with the bootstrap support for nodes being based on 1000 pseudo-replicates (Felsenstein, 1985), which provides an estimate of the confidence limits for the arrangement of each tree. The Bayesian Inference analysis was run in MrBayes v3.0b4 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001) with three heated chains and one "cold" chain, each with five million generations, sampled every 100 generations, with the application of the stopping rule command. The sequences of the species Celeus elegans - Chestnut Woodpecker were obtained from GenBank (Table 1) for use as the outgroup to root the phylogenetic arrangements.

The BEAST 1.7.2 program (Drummond and Rambaut, 2007) was used to visualize the phylogenetic relationships among the different taxa by generating species trees using the *BEAST procedure (Heled and Drummond, 2010), with 60 million generations. This approach is based on the prior selection of the most appropriate evolutionary model for each gene, which is adapted to the database for the generation of the species tree. This approach contrasts with the simple concatenation of the different models, with only a single model being selected for the concatenated data. The results of this analysis were visualized in Tracer v. 1.5 (Drummond and Rambaut, 2007) to determine the quality of the Markov chain search process. The trees were visualized and edited in FigTree v. 1.3.1 (Drummond and Rambaut, 2007). The divergence time among the Celeus species was estimated based on a relaxed molecular-clock analysis of the species tree, assuming a 2.1% nucleotide substitution rate per million years for Cyt b (Weir and Schluter, 2008), using the *BEAST methodology implemented in BEAST 1.7.2 software.

Results

A total of 3113 base pairs were sequenced, of which 2234 belonged to the mitochondrial markers (ND2, Cyt *b*, and 16S), while the other 879 represent the nuclear segment, I7BF. The analyses were complemented by sequences obtained from GenBank, belonging to the four species analyzed here, together with *C. elegans* (Webb and Moore, 2005; Benz and Robbins, 2011), which was used as the outgroup for the phylogenetic analyses. No evidence was found that the mitochondrial segments analyzed here may have been nuclear copies (NUMTs) of the mitochondrial genome, based on standard analytical criteria (Lacerda *et al.*, 2007; Rêgo *et al.*, 2010).

The nucleotide composition of the mitochondrial sequences was broadly similar among the four species, with an AT content of 51% for the mitochondrial sequences and 64% for the nuclear ones. Most changes in the mitochondrial sequences were transitions, at rates four to ten times higher than those recorded for transversions, indicating a lack of saturation in the sequences. This ratio was more balanced (ts/tv = 1.7) for the nuclear I7BF segment. A total of 28 amino-acid substitutions were recorded for the two coding regions (Cyt *b* and ND2). Of these, 10 were exclusive to *C. obrieni* and *C. spectabilis*.

A total of 66 variable sites were identified for Cyt *b*, 97 for ND2, 16 for 16S, and nine for I7BF in the 19 *Celeus* specimens examined. In all, 170 of these 188 sites were informative for phylogenetic analysis. There were 36 variable sites between *C. obrieni* and *C. spectabilis*, of which 26 were informative for parsimony analysis, with ND2 and Cytb, once again, being the most variable.

The genetic divergence values (p distance) recorded within and between species for the four gene segments are presented in Tables 2 and 3. Within taxa, the greatest diver-

	C. obrieni (0.002 ± 0.001)	C. spectabilis (0.002 ± 0.001)	C. undatus (0.007 ± 0.002)	C. grammicus (0.002 ± 0.001)
<i>C. obrieni</i> (0.000 ± 0.000)	-	0.012 ± 0.003	0.073 ± 0.007	0.075 ± 0.007
C. spectabilis (0.003 ± 0.001)	0.013 ± 0.004	-	0.072 ± 0.007	0.075 ± 0.008
C. undatus (0.000 ± 0.000)	0.076 ± 0.009	0.068 ± 0.009	-	0.007 ± 0.002
C grammicus (0.005 ± 0.002)	0.077 ± 0.009	0.069 ± 0.009	0.005 ± 0.002	-

Table 2 - Mean pairwise distances (p distances) and standard deviations for *Cyt b* (752 bps; below the diagonal) and ND2 (1041 bps; above the diagonal) for the four *Celeus* species analyzed in the present study. Intraspecific distances in parentheses.

Table 3 - Mean pairwise distances (p distances) and standard deviations for 16S (441 bps; below the diagonal) and I7BF (879 bps; above the diagonal) for the four *Celeus* species analyzed in the present study. Intraspecific distances in parentheses.

	<i>C. obrieni</i> (0.001 ± 0.001)	C. spectabilis (0.000 \pm 0.000)	C. undatus (0.000 ± 0.000)	C. grammicus (0.001 ± 0.000)
C. obrieni (0.000 ± 0.000)	-	0.002 ± 0.001	0.004 ± 0.002	0.004 ± 0.002
<i>C. spectabilis</i> (0.000 ± 0.000)	0.005 ± 0.003	-	0.002 ± 0.002	0.002 ± 0.002
C. undatus (0.000 ± 0.000)	0.034 ± 0.008	0.029 ± 0.007	-	0.000 ± 0.000
C. grammicus (0.000 ± 0.000)	0.036 ± 0.008	0.032 ± 0.008	$0.002\pm.002$	-

gence was observed in Cyt *b* and ND2, with lower levels being observed in 16S and I7BF. Divergence between *C. obrieni* and *C. spectabilis* was much higher for Cyt *b* (1.3%) and ND2 (1.2%) than that observed between *C. undatus* and *C. grammicus* - Cyt b (0.5%) and ND2 (0.7%). The haplotype network for Cyt *b* and ND2 indicated a clear differentiation between *C. obrieni* and *C. spectabilis*, with no shared haplotypes (Figure 2). As expected for a relatively well-conserved marker, the 16S fragment presented only a single haplotype for each species, separated by two mutations.

The most appropriate evolutionary models selected by the jModeltest program for the Bayesian Inference (BI)



Figure 2 - Haplotype networks for *Celeus obrieni* (black circles) and *Celeus spectabilis* (white circles) for three molecular markers - Cyt b (A), ND2 (B), and I7BF (C). Haplotypes are represented by circles, the sizes of which are proportional to their frequencies. Numbers on the lines that join the circles correspond to the positions of the divergent nucleotides in the region studied.

criterion of the four markers were HKY (rRNA 16S), TPM3uf+G (Cyt b), HKY+I (ND2), and F81 (I7BF). The topology obtained for the species tree indicated a high degree of statistical support for both the C. obrieni / C. spectabilis and the C. undatus / C. grammicus groupings (Figure 3). Similarly, the phylogenetic analyses of the concatenated data provided by the MP, ML, and BI approaches confirmed the results of the species tree, particularly the distinction between C. obrieni and C. spectabilis, which represent two reciprocally monophyletic groups, with branch support values of over 95% in all the analyses (data not shown). The estimated divergence time obtained based on the Cyt b marker indicated that the cladogenesis of C. obrieni and C. spectabilis was probably completed between 400,000 and 800,000 years ago, which coincides with the mid-Pleistocene (Figure 3).



Figure 3 - Bayesian Inference for the species tree based on the mitochondrial and nuclear data run in *BEAST. Posterior probability values are shown above branches, whereas divergence times from the common ancestor are shown below branches.

Discussion

The conservation of species is often hampered by the uncertain taxonomic status of different forms, and the reliable definition of such status is a primary preoccupation in conservation biology (Assis et al., 2006). The use of molecular techniques is fundamental to the analysis of the taxonomic status of most uncertain forms (Silveira and Olmos, 2007; Lacerda et al., 2007), although this approach is limited by the need for an adequate supply of biological material from which DNA can be isolated. Celeus obrieni presents a good example of the importance of this approach, given that the existence of a single specimen, deposited at the American Museum of Natural History in New York in 1926, was used for the confirmation of the species status of this form in relation to C. spectabilis (Benz and Robbins, 2011). However, the availability of only a single specimen from almost a century ago led these authors to emphasize the need for a larger sample in order to confirm the reciprocal monophyly of these forms, and the reliability of the evolutionary lineages identified. The present study provides additional support for the status of C. obrieni as a valid species, distinct from C. spectabilis, thus reconfirming the findings of Benz and Robbins (2011). The present findings were based on a larger number of C. obrieni specimens, with the results of all the analyses - intra- and interspecific divergence values, haplotype networks, and species trees - confirming reciprocal monophyly between C. obrieni and C. spectabilis, with a high degree of statistical support.

In addition, the comparative analysis indicated a greater degree of divergence between *C. obrieni* and *C. spectabilis* in comparison with *C. undatus* and *C. grammicus*, and the species tree (Figure 1) was consistent with the complete separation of these four taxa. These analyses, however, did not include the specimens used by Benz and Robbins (2011), given that sequences for the genetic markers used in both studies were not available for all the specimens.

One important detail in the results of Benz and Robbins (2011) is that the mtDNA divergence value within C. grammicus was larger than those recorded between the grammicus and undatus forms, resulting in a paraphyletic arrangement. Nonetheless, a number of substitutions observed in the nuclear loci indicated a different arrangement, in which the taxa were clearly separated, indicating the possibility that the mtDNA data were the result of introgression. Given this, Benz and Robbins (2011) indicated the need for a more definitive evaluation of the taxonomic arrangement of this group (grammicus-undatus) based on a larger sample from a wider range of populations and a larger number of independent genetic markers for the evaluation of possible gene flow, especially in the western Amazonian populations of grammicus, and in the eastern contact zone mentioned above, to provide a more conclusive assessment of the status of these taxa. The low distance values separating *undatus* and *grammicus* that we found also call into question the specific distinctiveness of these two taxa.

While C. obrieni had long been considered a subspecies of C. spectabilis (Short, 1982), based on the availability of a single specimen, subsequent morphological and ecological data indicated that C. obrieni and C. spectabilis are distinct, albeit closely-related species (Santos and Vasconcelos, 2007; Remsen et al., 2012). The geographic distribution of the two species is probably related to the availability of habitats with bamboo (Pinheiro et al., 2012). In the western Amazon basin, C. spectabilis is dependent on patches of humid bamboo forest, in which it forages and reproduces (Kratter, 1997, 1998; Whittaker and Oren, 1999; Guilherme and Santos, 2009). Tobias et al. (2006) also highlight that the known geographic range of C. obrieni (from the type specimen) coincided with open and forested Cerrado habitats, interspersed with narrow and discontinuous belts of gallery forest. New observations (Pinheiro and Dornas, 2008; Pinheiro et al., 2012) indicate that this species is specialized for occupation of patches of bamboo habitat within this landscape, especially those dominated by Guadua paniculata, in which approximately 98% of the records of C. obrieni foraging behavior were obtained at Cerrado sites. The marked dependence of the species on a bamboo habitat could be related to the dietary preferences of this bird species (Kratter, 1997; Winkler and Christie, 2002). The heterogeneous distribution of C. obrieni within the Cerrado may thus be related to this dependence on bamboo habitats, which are relatively widely dispersed within this biome.

The present-day distribution of C. obrieni includes the Brazilian states of Goiás, Maranhão, Piauí, and Tocantins (Pinheiro and Dornas, 2008; Dornas et al., 2011), and more recently, Mato Grosso (Pinheiro et al., 2012). This distribution encompasses wooded environments - gallery and semideciduous forests, both with bamboo habitats within the Cerrado biome, and reduces the distance (to approximately 1000 km) between the known range of C. obrieni and the nearest recorded localities for C. spectabilis in the Brazilian state of Acre in the southwestern Amazon basin (Guilherme and Santos, 2009). The available data on the distribution of C. obrieni and C. spectabilis indicate a pattern different from that of other birds dependent on bamboo habitats, such as Syndactyla ucayalae, Anabazenops dorsalis, Cercomacra manu, Drymophila devillei, and Ramphotrigon megacephalum, whose stronghold lies in southwestern Amazonia (Kratter, 1997). When they occur outside this area, these species tend to be associated with minor tracts of bamboo habitats, which form a diagonal from southwestern Amazonia, passing through the states of Rondônia and Mato Grosso to southeastern Pará, in the region of Carajás, reaching even the Belém area of endemism in easternmost Amazonia (Parker et al., 1997; Lees et al., in press). Unlike those aforementioned species, which are locally but continuously distributed in this area, the distributions of *C. obrieni* and *C. spectabilis* appear to be truly disjunct, with the latter restricted to western Amazonia and the former to its eastern portion (Kratter, 1997). If this patterns holds, the allopatry of *C. obrieni* and *C. spectabilis* may help explain the comparative divergent phenotypes and genotypes when compared to another phylogenetically close sister species pair of *Celeus*, such as *C. undatus* and *C. grammicus*.

The evidence suggests that in the past, these open bamboo forests were more widely distributed than in the present day, extending eastwards towards the Cerrado, with offshoots that reached as far south as the Atlantic Forest of southeastern Brazil. This major corridor of open forest habitats would provide a historic connection between the geographic ranges of the two species. According to the molecular clock analysis, the cladogenetic event that led to the separation of *C. obrieni* and *C. spectabilis* took place during the climatic fluctuations and associated habitat modifications of the mid Pleistocene. It is worthy of note that the 2.1% nucleotide substitution rate used in the present study is based on average across many unrelated taxa (Weir and Schluter, 2008), therefore, such conclusions must be interpreted with caution.

The climate cycles and related shifts in the distribution of habitats that occurred during the Pleistocene have been identified by several biogeographers (Prance, 1987; Haffer, 2001; Silva and Bates, 2002) as the primary factor driving recent speciation processes in both forested and savanna environments in South America. In particular, the climatic fluctuations of the mid-Pleistocene would have provoked a reduction and fragmentation of the forest cover, leading to the isolation of the ancestral populations of C. obrieni and C. spectabilis. The geomorphological evidence indicates that a single major refuge would have formed in the center of the present-day distribution of the Cerrado biome during this period, when even gallery forests may have shrunk to the point of interrupting the connection with adjacent areas of forest (Ab'Saber, 1983). The subsequent warming of the climate would have led to an expansion of the forest cover, expanding from the refugia to more peripheral areas, and re-establishing the connectivity of the gallery forests.

Because the latest Brazilian checklist of endangered species was completed in 2003, before Kaempfer's Woodpecker was rediscovered, it is currently not listed as a threatened species in Brazil, but the IUCN lists it globally as critically endangered (BirdLife International, 2011). However, the recent extensions reported in the known geographic range of the species, which now includes Mato Grosso (Dornas *et al.*, 2011), may contribute to a re-evaluation of the species' status in the near future (IUCN, 2010). On the other hand, Pinheiro *et al.* (2012) have concluded that the specialization of *C. obrieni* for foraging in *G. paniculata* bamboo patches within the Cerrado may determine its low population densities and its high degree of intolerance of anthropogenic impacts. This would make the species vulnerable to extinction, especially in the current context of agricultural expansion in the Brazilian Cerrado, where large tracts of natural habitat are being converted into soybean and rice plantations (Silva and Bates, 2002). The destruction of local ecosystems has accelerated considerably in recent years (Klink and Machado, 2005). Over the short term, the development of effective conservation strategies for *C. obrieni* will depend on the collection of more reliable data on population size and viability, for which molecular markers will provide a fundamentally important analytical tool.

Acknowledgments

We thank anonymous reviewers for contributions to earlier versions of the manuscript. L.S.A. received a graduate fellowship from CNPq during the study. A.A., M.V., I.S., and H.S. are supported by productivity fellowships from CNPq.

References

- Ab'Saber AN (1983) O domínio dos cerrados: Introdução ao conhecimento. Rev Serv 111:41-55.
- Assis CP, Raposo MA and Parrini R (2006) Validação de Poospiza cabanisi Bonaparte, 1850 (Passeriformes, Emberizidae). Rev Bras Ornitol 15:103-112.
- Bandelt HJ, Forster P and Rohl A (1999) Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol 16:37-48.
- Benz BW and Robbins MB (2011) Molecular phylogenetics, vocalizations, and species limits in *Celeus* woodpeckers (Aves, Picidae). Mol Phylogenet Evol 61:29-44.
- Dornas T, Valle NC and Hidasi J (2009) *Celeus obrieni*: Dois novos registros históricos para o estado de Goiás. Atual Ornitol 147:18-19.
- Dornas T, Leite GA, Pinheiro RT and Crozariol MA (2011) Primeiro registro do criticamente ameaçado pica-pau-doparnaíba *Celeus obrieni* no Estado do Mato Grosso (Brasil) e comentários sobre distribuição geográfica e conservação. Cotinga 33:91-93.
- Drummond AJ and Rambaut A (2007) BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees. BMC Evol Biol 7:e214.
- Felsenstein J (1985) Confidence limits on phylogenies: An approach using the bootstrap. Evol 39:783-791.
- Guilherme E and Santos MP (2009) Birds associated with bamboo forests in eastern Acre, Brazil. Bull Br Ornithol Club 129:229-240.
- Hackett SJ (1996) Molecular phylogenetics and biogeography of Tanagers in the genus *Ramphocelus* (Aves). Mol Phylogenet Evol 5:368-382.
- Haffer J (2001) Hypotheses to explain the origin of species in Amazonia. In: Vieira ICG, Silva JMC, Oren DC and D'Incao MA (eds) Biological and Cultural Diversity in Amazonia. Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi, Belém, pp 45-118.
- Hall TA (1999) BIOEDIT: A user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symp Ser 41:95-98.

- Heled J and Drummond AJ (2010) Bayesian inference of species trees from multilocus data. Mol Biol Evol 27:570-580.
- Hidasi JL, Mendonça GA and Blamires D (2008) Primeiro registro documentado de *Celeus obrieni* (Picidae) para o estado de Goiás, Brasil. Revista Brasileira de Ornitologia 16:373-375.
- Huelsenbeck JP and Ronquist F (2001) MrBayes: Bayesian inference of phylogeny. Bioinformatics 17:754-755.
- Klink CA and Machado RB (2005) A conservação do Cerrado Brasileiro. Megadiversidade 1:147-155.
- Kratter AW (1997) Bamboo specialization by Amazonian birds. Biotropica 29:100-110.
- Kratter AW (1998) The nests of two bamboo specialists: *Celeus spectabilis* and *Cercomacra manu*. Biotropica 69:37-44.
- Lacerda DR, Marini MÂ and Santos FR (2007) Mitochondrial DNA corroborates the species distinctiveness of the Planalto (*Thamnophilus pelzelni* Hellmayr, 1924) and the Sooretama (*T. ambiguous* Swainson, 1825) Slaty-antshrikes (Passeriformes, Thamnophilidae). *Braz J* Biol 67:873-882.
- Lees AC, Moura NG, Santana A, Aleixo A, Barlow J, Berenguer E, Ferreira J and Gardner TA (2012) Paragominas: A quantitative baseline in ventory of an eastern amazonian avifauna. Rev Brasil Ornitol 20:93-118.
- Librado P and Rozas J (2009) DnaSP v. 5.10: A software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data. Bioinformatics 25:1451-1452.
- Palumbi S, Martin A, Romano S, McMillian WO, Stice L and Grabowski G (1991) The Simple Fool's Guide to PCR. University of Hawaii Press, Honolulu, 45 pp.
- Parker TA, Stotz DF and Fitzpatrick JW (1997) Notes on avian bamboo specialists in southwestern Amazonian Brazil. Ornithol Monogr 48:543-547.
- Pinheiro RT and Dornas T (2008) New records and distribution of Kaempfer's Woodpecker *Celeus obrieni*. Rev Bras Ornitol 16:167-169.
- Pinheiro RT, Dornas T, Leite GA, Crozariol MA, Marcelino DG and Corrêa AG (2012) Novos registros do pica-pau-doparnaíba *Celeus obrieni* e status conservação no estado de Goiás, Brasil. Rev Bras Ornitol 20:59-64.
- Posada D (2008) jModelTest: Phylogenetic Model Averaging. Mol Biol Evol 25:1253-1256.
- Prado AD (2006) *Celeus obrieni*: 80 anos depois. Atual Ornitol 134:4-5.
- Prance GT (1987) Biogeography of neotropical plants. In: Whitmore TC and Prance GT (eds) Biogeography and Quaternary History in Tropical America. Clarendon Press, Oxford, pp 46-65.
- Prychitko TM and Moore WS (1997) The utility of DNA sequences of an intron from the b-fibrinogen gene in phylogenetic analysis of Woodpeckers (Aves, Picidae). Mol Phylogenet Evol 8:193-204.
- Rêgo PS, Araripe J, Silva WAG, Albano C, Pinto T, Campos A, Vallinoto M, Sampaio I and Schneider H (2010) Population genetic studies of mitochondrial pseudo-control region in the endangered Araripe Manakin (*Antilophia bokermanni*). Auk 127:335-342.
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF and Maniatis T (1989) Molecular Cloning. A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York.

- Sanger F, Nichlen S and Coulson AR (1977) DNA Sequencing with chain-termination inhibitors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 74:5463-5468.
- Santos MPD and Vasconcelos MF (2007) Range extension for Kaempfer's Woodpecker *Celeus obrieni* in Brazil, with the first male specimen. Bull Br Ornithol Club 127:249-252.
- Santos MPD, Cerqueira PV and Soares LMS (2010) Avifauna em seis localidades no Centro-Sul do Estado do Maranhão, Brasil. Ornithologia 4:49-65.
- Schneider H (2007) Método de Análise Filogenética: Um Guia Prático. Editora Holos and Sociedade Brasileira de Genética Press, Ribeirão Preto, 200 pp.
- Short LL (1982) Woodpeckers of the World. Delaware Museum of Natural History, Greenville, 676 pp.
- Silva JMC and Bates JM (2002) Biogeographic patterns and conservation in the South American Cerrado: A tropical savanna hotspot. BioScience 52:225-233.
- Silveira LF and Olmos F (2007) Quantas espécies de aves existem no Brasil? Conceitos de espécie, conservação e o que falta descobrir. Rev Bras Ornitol 15:289-296.
- Sorenson MD, Ast JC, Dimcheff DE, Yuri T and Mindell DP (1999) Primers for a PCR-based approach to mitochondrial genome sequencing in birds and other vertebrates. Mol Phylogenet Evol 12:105-114.
- Swofford DL (2002) PAUP* Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (*and Other Methods). Beta Version v. 4.10b. Sinauer Associates, Sundeland, Massachusetts.
- Tamura K, Dudley J, Nei M and Kumar S (2007) MEGA4: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software ver. 4.0. Mol Biol Evol 24:1596-1599.
- Thompson JD, Higgins DG and Gibson TJ (1994) CLUSTAL W: Improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res 22:4673-4680.
- Tobias JA, Butchart SHM and Collar NJ (2006) Lost and found: A gap analysis for the Neotropical avifauna. Neotrop Birding1:4-22.
- Webb DM and Moore WS (2005) A phylogenetic analysis of woodpeckers and their allies using 12S, *Cyt b*, and COI nucleotide sequences (class Aves; order Piciformes). Mol Phylogenet Evol 36:233-248.
- Weir JT and Schluter D (2008) Calibrating the avian molecular clock. Mol Ecol 17:2321-2328.
- Winkler H and Christie DA (2002) Family Picidae (Woodpeckers). In: del Hoyo J, Elliott A and Sargatal J (eds) Handbook of the Birds of the World. Vol. 7: Jacamars to Woodpeckers. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona, pp 296-555.
- Whittaker A and Oren DC (1999) Important ornithological records from the rio Juruá, western Amazonia, including twelve additions to the Brazilian avifauna. Bull Br Ornithol Club119:235-260.

Internet Resources

- BirdLife International (2011) Celeus obrieni. In: IUCN 2011. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species.Version 2011.2, http://www.iucnredlist.org (June 29, 2012).
- CBRO (2011) Comitê Brasileiro de Registros Ornitológicos. 10^a Edição, http://www.cbro.org.br (June 29, 2012).

- IUCN (2010) Celeus obrieni. In: IUCN 2010. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2010.4., http://www.iucnredlist.org (June 29, 2012).
- Remsen JV, Cadena CD, Jaramillo A, Nores M, Pacheco JF, Robbins MB, Schulenberg TS, Stiles FG, Stotz DF and Zimmer KJ (2012) A classification of the bird species of South America. AOU, http://www.mu-

seum.lsu.edu/~Remsen/SACCBaseline.html (June 29, 2012).

Associate Editor: Fabrício Rodrigues dos Santos

License information: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.